

1 **Aerobic fitness and neurocognitive performance in older adults from Kansas and Costa**
2 **Rica**

3
4 Mónica Salazar-Villanea¹, Yamileth Chacón-Araya^{2,3}, Amber Watts⁴, Eric D. Vidoni⁴, Sandra A.
5 Billinger⁵, David K. Johnson^{4,6}, and José Moncada-Jiménez^{2,3}

6
7 ¹Psychological Research Institute (IIP), University of Costa Rica, Costa Rica

8 ²Human Movement Sciences Research Center (CIMOBU), University of Costa Rica, Costa Rica

9 ³School of Physical Education and Sports, University of Costa Rica, Costa Rica

10 ⁴Alzheimer's Disease Center, University of Kansas, USA

11 ⁵Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Kansas, USA

12 ⁶Department of Psychology, University of Kansas, USA

13

14 Address for correspondence:

15 José Moncada-Jiménez, Ph.D.

16 Human Movement Sciences Research Center (CIMOBU)

17 University of Costa Rica

18 P. O. Box 239-1200 Pavas

19 San Jose, Costa Rica

20 Tel. +506 2511-2909

21 E-mail: jose.moncada@ucr.ac.cr

22 6000 palabras máximo abstract, text and references

23 Tables and figures are limited to 5 Word pages.

24

25 **Acknowledgements**

26 This project was registered at the University of Costa Rica's Vice-Presidency of Research under
27 the code VI-838-B4-364. The National Institutes of Health - Fogarty [1R21TW009665-01] and
28 intramural research funds from the University of Costa Rica supported this work. The National
29 Institutes of Health [R01 AG034614, UL1 TR000001, KL2 TR000119, P30 AG035982, K01
30 HD067318] supported portions of this research. Additional support was provided by the
31 Foundation for Physical Therapy New Investigator Fellowship Training Initiative at the
32 University of Kansas. The authors would like to thank José Miguel Briceño-Torres, Mariana
33 Peralta-Brenes, Jorge Ramírez-Morales, Marcela Martí-Revelo, Luis E. Ortega-Araya, and
34 Rodrigo Cordero-Tencio for their help in logistics and data collection for this study in Costa
35 Rica.

36

37 **Abstract**

38 **Background and Objectives:** There is a dearth of comparative studies on the association
39 between aging, cognitive function and cardiorespiratory fitness in older adults from developed
40 and developing countries. The purpose of the study was to determine the association between
41 aerobic power and neurocognitive performance in older adults from the Kansas (KS, USA) and
42 Costa Rica (CR).

43 **Research Design and Methods:** In a cross-sectional study, older adults from CR (n = 78) and
44 KS (n = 100) underwent a maximal cardiopulmonary function test to determine aerobic power
45 and a comprehensive battery of cognitive function dimensions including a cognitive screen, and
46 tests of simple speed of processing, spatial visualization, visuospatial processing, episodic
47 memory and verbal abilities, executive functioning and cognitive control, and working memory
48 tasks. Raw data from the dimensions were z-transformed to compute an overall index of global
49 neurocognitive performance.

50 **Results:** Aerobic power was similar between male and female older adults from CR and KS.
51 Regardless of gender, elderly from CR scored lower in cognitive measures than elderly from KS.
52 For the entire sample, a small correlation was found between aerobic power and Visuospatial
53 processing/fluid ability ($r = 0.197$, $p = 0.009$).

54 **Discussion and Implications:** Elderly males and females from KS and CR reported similar
55 aerobic power. The association between aerobic power and cognitive domains was inconsistent
56 between genders and groups studied. More comparative ethnic/racial studies are needed to
57 determine potential lifestyle factors related to cognitive decline in the elderly living in different
58 societies.

59 **Keywords:** Cognition, Ethnic, Hispanics, Aerobic power, Performance

60

61 **Introduction**

62 The aging individuals have a high incidence of physical and cognitive impairment (e.g.,
63 Alzheimer's disease, AD) (Cunningham, McGuinness, Herron, & Passmore, 2015; Miljkovic,
64 Lim, Miljkovic, & Frontera, 2015). High income countries are pushing morbidity back toward
65 the end of life while the opposite is true in low-and-middle-income countries, primarily due to
66 inadequate reduction in risk factors for neurological disorders such as cardiovascular disease
67 (Silberberg, Anand, Michels, & Kalaria, 2015).

68 Diseases such as AD are estimated to affect millions of older people in the United States
69 (Cornutiu, 2015). AD is the most frequent cause of institutionalization for long-term care and is a
70 leading cause of years lived with disability. This disease destroys the active, productive life of its
71 victims, and distresses their families financially and emotionally (Dodel et al., 2015; Gustavsson
72 et al., 2011). Epidemiological projections suggest that people with AD will increase with the
73 aging of the population unless effective lifestyle interventions are found (Cornutiu, 2015).
74 Indeed, worldwide prevalence and incidence of AD will rise as life expectancy increases across
75 the globe. In developed countries, this issue already looms as aging generations have benefited
76 from improved public health and the introduction of effective life extending medical
77 technologies. In developing nations, the rates of AD prevalence will increase fastest due to
78 increased incidence and duration of survival with disease. This increase in neurocognitive
79 illnesses is expected to be up to 433% in Latin America and in the first prevalence Costa Rican
80 report, data shows a 4.1% prevalence in dementia and 8% in mild cognitive impairment during
81 2014. These data reflect the need to increase resources directed towards research and prevention
82 (National Council of the Elderly Person, 2014).

83 Physical activity (PA) has shown positive benefits for protection against cognitive decline
84 in older adults with and without dementia (Burns et al., 2008; Colcombe et al., 2003; Colcombe

85 & Kramer, 2003; Heyn, Abreu, & Ottenbacher, 2004; Laurin, Verreault, Lindsay, MacPherson, &
86 Rockwood, 2001), but yet it has been recognized the high rate of sedentary elderly in the United
87 States. In a study of older adults with and without AD, the majority of participants only engaged
88 in unstructured and low intensity PA, including walking and housework (Watts, Vidoni,
89 Loskutova, Johnson, & Burns, 2013). However, walking and household chores were related to
90 objective positive health outcomes including cardiorespiratory capacity, speed, and body
91 composition, suggesting that lifestyle interventions aimed at increasing participation in
92 unstructured activities may be useful for reducing time spent in sedentary activities and
93 improving health outcomes, especially among the least active.

94 In Costa Rica elderly people report spending on average 2-3 hours per week in
95 unstructured physical activities and it was positively correlated with education, income, positive
96 affect, executive function and health. However, as the age of the sample increased, the number of
97 hours dedicated to physical exercise decreased (Blanco-Molina & Salazar-Villanea, 2017). Other
98 evidence also shows that elderly spend nearly 60% of waking time in sedentary activities
99 (Dipietro, Caspersen, Ostfeld, & Nadel, 1993; Stewart et al., 2001; Tudor-Locke & Myers, 2001;
100 Washburn, Smith, Jette, & Janney, 1993). Therefore, structured training aimed at change
101 sedentary lifestyle is recommended in this population. Aerobic exercise activities are a well-
102 documented pathway to healthy brain aging (Sparling, Howard, Dunstan, & Owen, 2015). Given
103 that a dose-response exists for PA and health benefits, evidence has shown that reducing
104 progressively sedentary time may prove more realistic and pave the way to more intense exercise
105 and better cardiorespiratory fitness (Vidoni et al., 2015).

106 Although the large and rapidly growing number of older adults who have risk potential
107 for developing cognitive decline is major concern, evidence comparing elderly populations from
108 different countries on PA and cognitive health is scarce. Racial/ethnic differences have been

109 described in cognitive function or PA in aging individuals within a country (e.g., USA) (Diaz-
110 Venegas, Downer, Langa, & Wong, 2016; Vasquez, Botosaneanu, Bennett, & Shaw, 2015).
111 Some key findings from these studies are that older Hispanics/Latinos had lower cognition than
112 older participants from other racial/ethnic categories along a wide range of aging groups;
113 however, the differences in cognitive measures endpoints disappear after controlling for the
114 influence of age, gender and education. In addition, PA has been positively associated to
115 cognitive function (Diaz-Venegas et al., 2016; Vasquez et al., 2015). However, these studies have
116 methodological limitations such as the use of brief telephone interviews, a limited number of
117 cognitive function measures, and the use of indirect assessment of PA as a by proxy for
118 cardiorespiratory fitness. The preferred method for PA assessment would be to perform a graded
119 treadmill exercise test to determine maximal aerobic power, the gold standard for
120 cardiorespiratory fitness (Hayes, Hayes, Cadden, & Verfaellie, 2013). The latter being supported
121 by evidence suggesting a positive association between PA, aerobic power, a healthy aging brain,
122 and the prevention of dementia (Beydoun et al., 2014; Burns et al., 2008; Colcombe et al., 2003;
123 Colcombe & Kramer, 2003; Foster, 2015; Hayes et al., 2013; Laurin et al., 2001; Paillard,
124 Rolland, & de Souto Barreto, 2015).

125 Therefore, comparison of data from different countries might help understanding the
126 potential features preventing or delaying the deleterious effect of aging on cognitive function, as
127 well as to identify modifiable lifestyle risk factors associated to the onset or impairment of
128 cognitive function. For instance, the lifespan of the Costa Rica (CR) inhabitants is longer than in
129 the United States of America (USA) (Rosero-Bixby, Dow, & Laclé, 2005), and cultural and
130 lifestyle factors might explain why Costa Rican elderly may experience slower declines in
131 cognitive health and physical function compared to the elderly living in the United States
132 (Salazar-Villanea, Liebmann, Garnier-Villarreal, Montenegro-Montenegro, & Johnson, 2015).

133 Comparing potential differences in the relationship between physical fitness and neurocognitive
134 performance between older adults from CR and USA might allow for a better understanding of
135 lifestyle factors related to their overall health. Therefore, the purpose of the study was to compare
136 the aerobic power and neurocognitive performance in older adults from the Kansas (KS) and
137 Costa Rica (CR), and to determine whether a correlation exist between aerobic power and
138 neurocognitive measures.

139 **Design and Methods**

140 *Participants*

141 Volunteers were recruited as a convenience sample through community talks and existing
142 databases of individuals willing to be in research studies. A community-dwelling sample of 100
143 participants from KS were recruited (males = 35, females = 65) and given a testing appointment
144 at the Alzheimer's disease Center at (ADC) the University of Kansas. A sample of 78 CR
145 participants (males = 26, females = 52) was recruited from the Epidemiology and Development
146 of Alzheimer's Disease project, the Costa Rican Gerontological Association, and the Institutional
147 Program for Adults and Older Adults from the University of Costa Rica (UCR).

148 Volunteers were screened and allowed to participate only those meeting the following
149 inclusion criteria: a) to be at least 60 years old, b) to approve a medical review and interview, c)
150 score in the unimpaired range on the cognitive status screen (MMSE > 24), and d) have visual
151 and auditory abilities sufficient to complete all cognitive assessments. Volunteers with current
152 clinically significant systemic illness or significant pain or musculoskeletal disorder that would
153 prohibit participation in fitness testing were excluded. Participants meeting the inclusion criteria
154 were given a testing appointment at the ADC and at the Human Movement Sciences Research
155 Center at the UCR. The Scientific Ethics Committee at the UCR and the Institutional Review

156 Board at the University of Kansas approved their respective protocol, and written informed
157 consent was obtained from each participant.

158 *Measurement instruments*

159 Participants underwent body weight (kg), height (cm), and body composition
160 assessments. In both testing sites, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) Lunar Prodigy (GE
161 Medical Systems, Madison, WI) was used to determine lean body mass (LBM). Both
162 measurement teams followed safety precautions and quality control according to international
163 standards and manufacturer's guidelines (International Society for Clinical Densitometry, 2015).

164 Aerobic power was measured according to current guidelines (American College of
165 Sports Medicine, 2010, 2014) with a Jaeger CPX metabolic cart (CareFusion Corporation, San
166 Diego, CA) for the CR sample and a TrueOne 2400 (Parvomedics, Sandy, UT) for the KS
167 sample. Both pieces of equipment were calibrated before each test using gases with known
168 concentrations ($\text{CO}_2 = 5\%$, $\text{O}_2 = 16\%$, Balance de N_2) according to the manufacturer's
169 instructions.

170 The neurocognitive assessment consisted on a comprehensive test battery designed to
171 assess different cognition domains through a personal interview, performed by a licensed
172 psychologist. This battery included a cognitive screen, simple speed of processing, spatial
173 visualization, visuospatial processing (fluid ability), episodic memory and verbal abilities,
174 executive functioning and cognitive control, and working memory (simple attention) tasks. The
175 cognitive screen, consisted on completing the *Mini-Mental State Examination* (MMSE) (Folstein,
176 Folstein, & McHugh, 1975), a brief structured test of cognitive function validated in Costa Rica
177 with an elderly sample (Castro-Rojas & Salazar-Villanea, 2014). The simple speed of processing
178 was measured with the *Stroop test* (Stroop, 1935), which was used to assess executive function.
179 The test consists on reading words, colors, and color words printed in unusual colored ink. The

180 participant must read the color words on the first page, the colors on the second page, and the
181 color of the ink (i.e., not the words) on the third page. The final score is the time spent in reading
182 correctly the maximum number of words in each page. The spatial visualization was measured
183 with the *Space Relations Test* (SRT) (Bennet, Seashore, & Wesman, 1972), a timed test of
184 visuospatial executive functioning, requiring the imaginary folding a two-dimensional target
185 figure to compare against a target set of close 2-dimensional variants confounded by planar and
186 mirror-imaged rotations of the target figure. Sixteen pictures were presented to the participants,
187 four pictures in four cards. Participants were asked to identify and name each one of the pictures
188 of each card, directed by a semantic cue given before by the rater. After that, the card was
189 removed and the participants had to recall all of the pictures presented. In case of no recall, the
190 semantic cue was given. After learning all sixteen pictures, participants were asked to recall as
191 many pictures as they could in three different trials, with a distraction activity of 30 s between
192 each trial.

193 The visuospatial processing/fluid ability was measured with the *Block Design Test*
194 (BDT) (Wechsler, 1997), which was used to partially assess intelligence, spatial visualization
195 ability and fine motor skills. Participants were required to use hand movements to rearrange
196 blocks that have a two-color pattern on different sides to match a pattern. The *Digit Symbol*
197 *Substitution Test* (DSST) (Wechsler, 1997), was used to assess cognitive processing ability . The
198 DSST has been used in elderly with mild cognitive impairment and has proven its validity (Hart,
199 Kwentus, Wade, & Hamer, 1987). The *Trail-making Test* (TMT) *forms A and B* (Armitage,
200 1946), was used to assess information regarding visual search, scanning, speed of processing,
201 mental flexibility, and set switching as an executive function. The form A requires participant to
202 draw lines sequentially, as quickly as possible, connecting 25-circled numbers distributed on a
203 sheet of paper. The form B is similar to form A; however, the participant is required to alternate

204 between two sequences, numbers and letters as quickly as possible. The final score is the time
205 obtained in each part of the test.

206 The episodic memory and verbal abilities was measured with the *Boston Naming Test*
207 (BNT) (Goodglass & Kaplan, 1983), a measure of visual confrontational word retrieval using
208 black and white line drawings of progressive difficulty. Participants are required to name verbally
209 the item in each picture when it is presented. The BNT has been validated for Spanish-speaking
210 populations (Fernández & Fulbright, 2015; Jahn et al., 2013), maintaining its original validity and
211 reliability (Ferraro & Lowell, 2010). *Logical* and *delayed memory* (Wechsler, 1997) were
212 measured by a prose recall of short narrative passages immediately after the auditory presentation
213 the story (reading out loud), and then prose recall of short narrative passages after a 20 min delay
214 of the auditory presentation the story (reading out loud).

215 The executive functioning and cognitive control were measured with the TMT (form B)
216 and the Stroop Test (interference task), were used to assess executive function and cognitive
217 control. In addition, verbal fluency (Goodglass & Kaplan, 1983), was measured by a verbal test
218 which consists of saying as many words as possible from a category in one minute. This could be
219 semantic or phonologic. In this study, there were two verbal fluency tests of two different
220 semantic categories, animals and vegetables. The final score is the number of correct words said.
221 Intrusions and perseverations were also considered in the final scoring.

222 Finally, the working memory (simple attention) was measured with the *Digit Span*
223 *forwards and backwards* (DSF involves reciting back a list of numbers to read to the subject,
224 DSB involves reciting back a list of numbers in reverse order) and the *Letter Number Sequencing*
225 (LNS) tests was used to assess working memory (Wechsler, 1997).

226 *Procedures*

227 *Anthropometric and aerobic power assessment.* Data collection was performed in the
228 morning after a voiding attempt. Anthropometric measures and body composition were
229 determined by standard protocols (American College of Sports Medicine, 2010, 2014; Nana,
230 Slater, Stewart, & Burke, 2015). Body height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a
231 stadiometer. Body mass was measured in kg on a digital platform balance. Body composition was
232 assessed by DXA. Scans were performed and analyzed by the same-trained operator, according to
233 the laboratory standard protocol (International Society for Clinical Densitometry, 2015).

234 Cardiorespiratory capacity was determined by a graded treadmill exercise test using a
235 modified Cornell treadmill protocol. Participants began walking at a pace of 2.73 km/h at 0%
236 incline. Expired gases were collected continuously (breath by breath) in a metabolic cart. The
237 treadmill grade, speed or both were increased every 2-min until peak oxygen consumption
238 (VO_{2peak}) was achieved when meeting three out of four criteria: a) a plateau in O_2 consumption,
239 b) a respiratory exchange ratio ($RER = VCO_2/VO_2$) ≥ 1.1 , c) a maximal heart rate within 90%
240 age-predicted maximum, or d) volitional fatigue (Hawkins, Raven, Snell, Stray-Gundersen, &
241 Levine, 2007).

242 *Neurocognitive assessment.* A licensed psychologist received participants in a quiet room
243 where the neurocognitive battery tests were performed. All participants sat quietly and were
244 given the option to drink a beverage and eat a light snack during the length of the testing session,
245 which lasted approximately 2-h allowing for breaks when needed.

246 *Statistical analysis*

247 Statistical analysis was performed with the IBM-SPSS Statistics, version 22 (IBM
248 Corporation, Armonk, New York). Descriptive statistics are presented as mean and standard
249 deviation ($M \pm SD$), unless otherwise noted. For KS and CR participants, neurocognitive
250 performance scores were converted to z-scores as follows: $z = (\text{raw score} - \text{mean})/SD$. Higher z-

251 scores represented better performance. The cognitive dimensions (screening, simple speed of
252 processing, spatial visualization, visuospatial processing/fluid ability, episodic memory and
253 verbal abilities, executive functioning and cognitive control, and working memory) were
254 analyzed in raw and z-scores separately. Participant's mean performance z-scores on each
255 dimension were determined to create an index of global neurocognitive performance called
256 cognitive function total score (CFTS). Inferential analysis was performed by 2 x 2 ANOVA
257 (sample by gender) for age, body height, weight and LBM. A 2 x 2 ANCOVA (sample by
258 gender) was computed for VO₂peak (adjusted by age and LBM), and a 2 x 2 ANCOVA (sample
259 by gender) was computed for raw scores for cognitive variables (adjusted by age and education
260 level). Finally, a 2 x 2 ANCOVA (sample by gender) was computed for z-scores for cognitive
261 variables (adjusted by age and education level). Post-hoc analysis was completed using Tukey
262 comparisons. Pearson correlations were computed between aerobic power (VO₂peak) and
263 cognition dimensions and CFTS. The level of significance was set *a priori* at $p \leq 0.05$.

264 **Results**

265 In the study, participants were 100 older adults from KS and 78 from CR. Descriptive
266 statistics for anthropometric, VO₂peak, and cognitive variables are presented in tables 1 and 2.

267 *Anthropometric and aerobic power.* In general, the KS sample ($M = 72.84 \pm 5.59$ yr.) was
268 older than the CR sample ($M = 68.91 \pm 4.79$ yr.) ($p \leq 0.001$). The CR sample ($M = 158.63 \pm 8.77$
269 cm) had a smaller body height than the KS sample ($M = 167.39 \pm 9.72$) ($p \leq 0.001$). In general,
270 females ($M = 158.54 \pm 7.21$ cm) had smaller body height than males ($M = 172.99 \pm 8.39$ cm) (p
271 ≤ 0.001). A significant interaction between samples and genders in body weight was found ($p =$
272 0.046). Post hoc analysis showed that within KS and CR samples, males ($M = 84.27 \pm 16.15$ kg)
273 were heavier than females ($M = 69.05 \pm 11.61$ kg) ($p < 0.05$). In addition, between samples, KS
274 participants ($M = 78.60 \pm 15.28$ kg) had a higher body weight than CR participants ($M = 68.84 \pm$

275 13.17 kg) ($p < 0.05$). A significant interaction was found between KS and CR male and female
276 older adults in LBM ($p = 0.030$). KS females showed lower LBM than KS males ($p \leq 0.001$), and
277 CR females showed lower LBM than males ($p \leq 0.001$). Males from CR showed lower LBM than
278 males from KS ($p \leq 0.001$), and no differences were observed between CR and KS females ($p =$
279 0.689). Males showed higher mean VO_{2peak} values than females ($p \leq 0.001$) after adjusting for
280 the influence of age and LBM. The ANCOVA summary table on raw scores adjusted for age and
281 education level for cognitive variables is presented in table 3. The findings are described below.

282 *Cognitive screen and simple speed of processing.* In general, the cognitive screen (i.e.,
283 MMSE) showed similar mean scores, both, within and between samples and genders ($p = 0.245$).
284 The KS sample ($M = 96.3 \pm 1.5$) showed higher mean scores on the Stroop Word Reading than
285 the CR sample ($M = 90.1 \pm 1.7$) ($p = 0.009$) (Table 2 and 3).

286 *Spatial visualization.* The KS sample ($M = 8.1 \pm 0.2$) showed lower mean scores on the
287 SRT-trial 1 than the CR sample ($M = 9.5 \pm 0.3$) ($p \leq 0.001$). The KS sample ($M = 9.6 \pm 0.2$)
288 showed lower mean scores on the SRT-trial 2 than the CR sample ($M = 11.2 \pm 0.3$) ($p \leq 0.001$).
289 Regardless of the sample, the mean score on the SRT-trial 2 was higher in females ($M = 10.7 \pm$
290 0.2) than in males ($M = 10.0 \pm 0.3$) ($p = 0.021$). The KS sample ($M = 10.3 \pm 0.2$) showed lower
291 mean scores on the SRT-trial 3 than the CR sample ($M = 12.1 \pm 0.3$) ($p \leq 0.001$). In general,
292 males ($M = 10.7 \pm 0.3$) scored lower on the SRT-trial 3 than females ($M = 11.7 \pm 0.2$) ($p =$
293 0.003). The CR sample ($M = 6.2 \pm 0.3$) showed lower mean scores on the SRT cued recall trial 1
294 than the KS sample ($M = 7.9 \pm 0.2$) ($p \leq 0.001$). The CR sample ($M = 3.8 \pm 0.2$) showed lower
295 mean scores on the SRT cued recall trial 3 than the KS sample ($M = 5.6 \pm 0.2$) ($p \leq 0.001$). In
296 general, females ($M = 4.1 \pm 0.2$) scored lower on the SRT cued recall trial 3 than males ($M = 5.3$
297 ± 0.3) ($p = 0.001$) (Table 2 and 3).

298 *Visuospatial processing/fluid ability.* The CR sample ($M = 25.8 \pm 1.2$) showed lower
299 mean scores on the BDT than the KS sample ($M = 35.2 \pm 1.1$) ($p \leq 0.001$). In general, females (M
300 $= 28.4 \pm 0.9$) scored lower on the on the BDT than males ($M = 32.6 \pm 1.3$) ($p = 0.011$). The CR
301 sample ($M = 36.4 \pm 1.1$) showed lower mean scores on the DSST than the KS sample ($M = 48.1$
302 ± 0.9) ($p \leq 0.001$). The KS sample ($M = 27.8 \pm 1.3$) showed lower mean scores on the TMT form
303 A than the CR sample ($M = 48.7 \pm 1.5$) ($p \leq 0.001$). In general, females ($M = 40.6 \pm 1.1$) scored
304 higher on the on the TMT form A than males ($M = 35.9 \pm 1.6$) ($p = 0.022$). The CR sample ($M =$
305 59.7 ± 1.3) showed lower mean scores on the Stroop Color Naming Test than the KS sample (M
306 $= 73.1 \pm 1.1$) ($p \leq 0.001$) (Table 2 and 3).

307 *Episodic memory and verbal abilities.* Regardless of gender, the mean score in logical
308 memory was higher in the KS sample ($M = 14.6 \pm 0.3$) than in the CR sample ($M = 9.5 \pm 0.4$) (p
309 ≤ 0.001). Regardless of the sample, the mean scores in logical memory was higher in females (M
310 $= 12.6 \pm 0.3$) than in males ($M = 11.5 \pm 0.4$) ($p = 0.024$). The mean score in delayed logical
311 memory was higher in the KS sample ($M = 13.3 \pm 0.4$) than in the CR sample ($M = 8.6 \pm 0.4$) (p
312 ≤ 0.001). Regardless of the sample, the mean scores in the BNT was higher in males ($M = 28.1 \pm$
313 0.3) than in females ($M = 27.1 \pm 0.2$) ($p = 0.006$). Regardless of the gender, the KS sample ($M =$
314 28.6 ± 0.3) scored higher on the BNT than the CR sample ($M = 26.6 \pm 0.3$) ($p \leq 0.001$) (Table 2
315 and 3).

316 *Executive functioning and cognitive control.* The KS sample had lower mean scores on
317 the TMT form B ($M = 79.4 \pm 5.4$) than the CR sample ($M = 120.9 \pm 6.3$) ($p \leq 0.001$). The CR
318 sample had lower Stroop Interference Task mean scores ($M = 29.4 \pm 1.1$) than the KS sample (M
319 $= 37.3 \pm 1.0$) ($p \leq 0.001$). The mean score in verbal fluency for animals was higher in the KS
320 sample ($M = 21.3 \pm 0.5$) than in the CR sample ($M = 18.9 \pm 0.6$) ($p = 0.004$). The CR sample had
321 more verbal fluency for animal perseverations ($M = 1.10 \pm 0.1$) than the KS sample ($M = 0.6 \pm$

322 0.1) ($p = 0.026$). The mean score in verbal fluency for vegetables was higher in females ($M =$
323 16.2 ± 0.4) than in males ($M = 13.2 \pm 0.5$) ($p \leq 0.001$). Regardless of the gender, the KS sample
324 ($M = 15.5 \pm 0.4$) scored higher on the verbal fluency for vegetables than the CR sample ($M =$
325 14.1 ± 0.5) ($p = 0.043$) (Table 2 and 3).

326 *Working memory (simple attention)*. The KS sample had higher mean scores on the DSF
327 ($M = 8.6 \pm 0.2$) than the CR sample ($M = 5.6 \pm 0.2$) ($p \leq 0.001$). The KS sample had higher mean
328 scores on the DSB ($M = 6.6 \pm 0.2$) than the CR sample ($M = 3.7 \pm 0.2$) ($p \leq 0.001$). The KS
329 sample had higher mean scores on the LNS ($M = 10.4 \pm 0.3$) than the CR sample ($M = 6.2 \pm 0.3$)
330 ($p \leq 0.001$) (Table 2 and 3).

331 The ANCOVA summary table on z-scores scores adjusted for age and education level for
332 cognitive dimensions is presented in table 4. No significant interactions between samples and
333 genders were found on dimensions of cognitive screen ($p = 0.254$), simple speed of processing (p
334 $= 0.785$), visuospatial processing/fluid ability ($p = 0.741$), episodic memory and verbal abilities
335 ($p = 0.570$), executive functioning and cognitive control ($p = 0.305$), and in the CFTS ($p =$
336 0.115). Significant interactions were found between samples and genders on spatial visualization
337 ($p = 0.027$) and working memory (simple attention) ($p = 0.002$) dimensions (Figure 1A, 1B). No
338 significant gender main effects were observed in any of the cognitive dimensions ($p > 0.05$). The
339 CR sample ($M = -2.7 \pm 1.2$) scored lower on the CFTS than the KS sample ($M = 0.8 \pm 1.5$) ($p =$
340 0.032).

341 In general, no significant correlations were found on the entire sample of elderly adults
342 between VO_2 peak and cognitive dimensions and CFTS. A significant correlation was found on
343 visuospatial processing/fluid ability for the entire sample and the KS female elderly. In addition,
344 significant correlations were found for KS males on working memory, KS females on executive

345 functioning and cognitive control and CFTS, and inverse correlations in CR females on cognitive
346 screening and executive functioning and cognitive control (Table 5).

347 **Discussion and Implications**

348 The study was designed to compare the aerobic power and neurocognitive performance in
349 older adults from KS and Costa Rica CR, and to determine whether a correlation existed between
350 aerobic power and neurocognitive measures. The main findings of this study confirm gender
351 differences in anthropometric and cardiovascular measures. Regardless of the country of origin,
352 males were taller, heavier, and leaner and had higher aerobic power than females (Kaminsky,
353 Arena, & Myers, 2015). For cognitive variables, after adjusting for the influence of age and
354 education level (Vasquez et al., 2015), most variables were similar between males and females
355 and, in general, the cognitive screening showed similar mean scores within and between samples
356 and genders. However, differences were found between elderly from KS and CR. After
357 transforming raw- to z-scores and computing an overall measure of cognitive function (i.e.,
358 CFTS), we found that the elderly CR scored lower than their KS counterparts. This result is
359 consistent with a previous study where scores on the cognitive measures were comparable to age
360 and education normative data for lower educated convenience samples used widely in US clinical
361 research, where Costa Rican's only differed from US-based sample in their self-reported affective
362 profiles since positive affect was very high, 10 points higher than US normed comparisons while
363 negative affect was about equivalent (Salazar-Villanea et al., 2015). Finally, we only found a
364 significant correlation between aerobic power (i.e., VO_2 peak) and visuospatial processing/fluid
365 ability for the entire sample of elderly. This specific cognitive domain should be considered as a
366 core domain for future research.

367 It is recognized that PA is a modifiable health behavior that shows promise for protection
368 against cognitive decline and gray matter loss (Burns et al., 2008; Coelho et al., 2013; Colcombe

369 et al., 2003; Voss et al., 2013). Evidence suggests that increased aerobic fitness from a walking
370 program (i.e., PA), enhanced brain white matter integrity and short-term memory (Voss et al.,
371 2013). Evidence has shown that physically-active older adults have better cognitive performance
372 (Colcombe et al., 2003; Tyndall et al., 2013), and decreased risk of cognitive impairment and AD
373 (Chodzko-Zajko et al., 2009; Kimura, Yasunaga, & Wang, 2013; Laurin et al., 2001) than their
374 sedentary counterparts. Individuals with AD are particularly susceptible to decline in the systems
375 and functions that PA supports, including lean mass (Burns, Johnson, Watts, Swerdlow, &
376 Brooks, 2010), bone density, cognitive function and cardiorespiratory fitness or power (Burns et
377 al., 2008).

378 The association between VO_2 peak, a proxy of aerobic fitness, and cognitive function is
379 consistent across different age groups and genders (Hayes et al., 2013). For instance, fit college-
380 aged women (i.e., VO_2 peak = $44.6 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$) showed better executive functioning scores
381 (e.g., attention, learning/shifting, working memory, problem-solving) than low-fit women (Scott,
382 De Souza, Koehler, Petkus, & Murray-Kolb, 2016). In the current study we computed an overall
383 score of cognitive function based on a comprehensive battery of tests; however, we only found a
384 significant correlation with VO_2 peak in the KS female elderly (Table 5). Indeed, the statistical
385 significance disappeared when merging all participants from KS and CR, which might have given
386 higher statistical power to the correlational analysis. This finding was unexpected given the body
387 of knowledge supporting the purported association between cardiorespiratory fitness and
388 different cognition domains, including executive function, in older adults with and without
389 cognitive impairment (Hayes, Forman, & Verfaellie, 2016; Hayes et al., 2013; Morris et al.,
390 2017; Vidoni et al., 2015).

391 Baseline levels of VO_2 peak have been related to the magnitude in cognitive decline over
392 time (Wendell et al., 2014). In the present study, VO_2 peak was related to executive function and

393 cognitive control in the KS females and inversely related to the same domain in the CR females
394 (Table 5). Therefore, we did not find a consistent association between aerobic power and
395 cognitive domains. This inconsistent finding is commonly reported in the literature when
396 summarizing randomized controlled trials (Freudenberger et al., 2016; Gajewski & Falkenstein,
397 2016; Young, Angevaren, Rusted, & Tabet, 2015). Although some evidence suggest that aerobic
398 training enhances cognitive function, more studies are warranted to determine the causal effects
399 of increased aerobic fitness on selected cognitive domains and to determine the precise
400 physiological mechanisms explaining the purported benefits, even when aerobic training lacks to
401 enhance cognition or at least does not impair it (Roever & Bennett, 2016).

402 The present study has some limitations. We performed a cross-sectional study, and other
403 factors might have influenced our results (e.g., nutrition, blood pressure, and genetics). We
404 reported associations between VO_2peak and cognition domains, which does not necessarily
405 represent a causal relationship between aerobic power and cognition. Another important aspect
406 that must be considered is that the positive correlations between cognitive domains and PA have
407 mostly been reported in sedentary elderly samples (Voss, Carr, Clark, & Weng, 2014) which was
408 not the condition in this study. Further study of the diet regulation as a main contributing factor to
409 cognitive brain health and its interaction with aerobic exercise is also needed.

410 In conclusion, findings from this study were consistent with previous work showing
411 gender differences in anthropometric and cardiovascular function variables. We also found
412 differences in cognitive variables between elderly from KS and CR. However, we did not find a
413 consistent association between aerobic power/fitness and cognitive domains. Further comparative
414 ethnic/racial studies will allow us to better understand potential lifestyle factors related to
415 cognitive decline in the elderly living in different societies.

416

References

- Armitage, S. G. (1946). An analysis of certain psychological tests used in the evaluation of brain injury. *Psychological Monographs*, 60(1), 1-48.
- Bennet, G. K., Seashore, H. G., & Wesman, A. G. (1972). *Differential Aptitudes Tests Space Relations Form T* (5th ed.). New York, NY: Harcourt Educational Measurement/Psychological Corporation.
- Beydoun, M. A., Beydoun, H. A., Gamaldo, A. A., Teel, A., Zonderman, A. B., & Wang, Y. (2014). Epidemiologic studies of modifiable factors associated with cognition and dementia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*, 14(643), 1-33. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-14-643
- Blanco-Molina, M., & Salazar-Villanea, M. (2017). Socio-Emotional and Cognitive Predictors: Its Roll on the Comprehension of the Successful Aging in Costa Rica's Context. *Universitas Psychologica*, 16(1), 1-16. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.11144/Javeriana.upsy16-1.pssc>
- Burns, J. M., Cronk, B. B., Anderson, H. S., Donnelly, J. E., Thomas, G. P., Harsha, A., . . . Swerdlow, R. H. (2008). Cardiorespiratory fitness and brain atrophy in early Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*, 71(3), 210-216. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000317094.86209.cb
- Burns, J. M., Johnson, D. K., Watts, A., Swerdlow, R. H., & Brooks, W. M. (2010). Reduced lean mass in early Alzheimer disease and its association with brain atrophy. *Arch Neurol*, 67(4), 428-433. doi:10.1001/archneurol.2010.38
- Castro-Rojas, M. D., & Salazar-Villanea, M. (2014). *Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE)*. In Cuadernos Metodológicos del IIP, V. Smith-Castro (Ed.), (pp. 274-279). Retrieved from <http://iip.ucr.ac.cr/sites/default/files/cuadernosmetodologicos/cuamet6.PDF>
- Coelho, F. G., Vital, T. M., Stein, A. M., Arantes, F. J., Rueda, A. V., Teodorov, E., & Santos-Galduroz, R. F. (2013). Acute Aerobic Exercise Increases Brain Derived Neurotrophic Factor Levels in Elderly with Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimers Dis*. doi:10.3233/jad-131073
- Colcombe, S. J., Erickson, K. I., Raz, N., Webb, A. G., Cohen, N. J., McAuley, E., & Kramer, A. F. (2003). Aerobic fitness reduces brain tissue loss in aging humans. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*, 58(2), 176-180. doi:10.1093/gerona/58.2.M176
- Colcombe, S. J., & Kramer, A. F. (2003). Fitness effects on the cognitive function of older adults: a meta-analytic study. *Psychol Sci*, 14(2), 125-130.
- Cornutiu, G. (2015). The Epidemiological Scale of Alzheimer's Disease. *J Clin Med Res*, 7(9), 657-666. doi:10.14740/jocmr2106w
- Cunningham, E. L., McGuinness, B., Herron, B., & Passmore, A. P. (2015). Dementia. *Ulster Med J*, 84(2), 79-87.
- Chodzko-Zajko, W. J., Proctor, D. N., Fiatarone-Singh, M. A., Minson, C. T., Nigg, C. R., Salem, G. J., & Skinner, J. S. (2009). American College of Sports Medicine Position Stand: Exercise and Physical Activity for Older Adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 41(7), 1510-1530. doi:DOI: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181a0c95c
- Diaz-Venegas, C., Downer, B., Langa, K. M., & Wong, R. (2016). Racial and ethnic differences in cognitive function among older adults in the USA. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*, 0(0), 1-9. doi:10.1002/gps.4410
- Dipietro, L., Caspersen, C. J., Ostfeld, A. M., & Nadel, E. R. (1993). A survey for assessing physical activity among older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 25(5), 628-642.

- Dodel, R., Belger, M., Reed, C., Wimo, A., Jones, R. W., Happich, M., . . . Haro, J. M. (2015). Determinants of societal costs in Alzheimer's disease: GERAS study baseline results. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *11*(8), 933-945. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2015.02.005>
- Fernández, A. L., & Fulbright, R. L. (2015). Construct and Concurrent Validity of the Spanish Adaptation of the Boston Naming Test. *Applied Neuropsychology: Adult*, *22*(5), 355-362. doi:10.1080/23279095.2014.939178
- Ferraro, F. R., & Lowell, K. (2010). Boston Naming Test. In *The Corsini Encyclopedia of Psychology*. Philadelphia, PA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E., & McHugh, P. R. (1975). "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res*, *12*(3), 189-198. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956\(75\)90026-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6)
- Foster, P. P. (2015). Role of physical and mental training in brain network configuration. *Front Aging Neurosci*, *7*(117), 1-13. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2015.00117
- Freudenberger, P., Petrovic, K., Sen, A., Toglhofer, A. M., Fixa, A., Hofer, E., . . . Schmidt, H. (2016). Fitness and cognition in the elderly: The Austrian Stroke Prevention Study. *Neurology*, *86*(5), 418-424. doi:10.1212/wnl.0000000000002329
- Gajewski, P. D., & Falkenstein, M. (2016). Physical activity and neurocognitive functioning in aging - a condensed updated review. *Eur Rev Aging Phys Act*, *13*, 1. doi:10.1186/s11556-016-0161-3
- Goodglass, H., & Kaplan, E. (1983). *Boston Naming Test scoring booklet*. Philadelphia, PA: Lea & Febiger.
- Gustavsson, A., Brinck, P., Bergvall, N., Kolasa, K., Wimo, A., Winblad, B., & Jonsson, L. (2011). Predictors of costs of care in Alzheimer's disease: a multinational sample of 1222 patients. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *7*(3), 318-327. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2010.09.001
- Hart, R. P., Kwentus, J. A., Wade, J. B., & Hamer, R. M. (1987). Digit symbol performance in mild dementia and depression. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *55*(2), 236-238.
- Hawkins, M. N., Raven, P. B., Snell, P. G., Stray-Gundersen, J., & Levine, B. D. (2007). Maximal oxygen uptake as a parametric measure of cardiorespiratory capacity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, *39*(1), 103-107. doi:10.1249/01.mss.0000241641.75101.64
- Hayes, S. M., Forman, D. E., & Verfaellie, M. (2016). Cardiorespiratory Fitness Is Associated With Cognitive Performance in Older But Not Younger Adults. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*, *71*(3), 474-482. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbu167
- Hayes, S. M., Hayes, J. P., Cadden, M., & Verfaellie, M. (2013). A review of cardiorespiratory fitness-related neuroplasticity in the aging brain. *Front Aging Neurosci*, *5*(31), 1-16. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2013.00031
- Heyn, P., Abreu, B. C., & Ottenbacher, K. J. (2004). The effects of exercise training on elderly persons with cognitive impairment and dementia: a meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, *85*(10), 1694-1704.
- Jahn, D. R., Mauer, C. B., Menon, C. V., Edwards, M. L., Dressel, J. A., & O'Bryant, S. E. (2013). A brief Spanish-English equivalent version of the Boston Naming Test: A Project FRONTIER Study. *Journal of Clinical & Experimental Neuropsychology*, *35*(8), 835-845. doi:10.1080/13803395.2013.825234
- Kaminsky, L. A., Arena, R., & Myers, J. (2015). Reference Standards for Cardiorespiratory Fitness Measured With Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing: Data From the Fitness Registry and the Importance of Exercise National Database. *Mayo Clin Proc*, *90*(11), 1515-1523. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2015.07.026

- Kimura, K., Yasunaga, A., & Wang, L. Q. (2013). Correlation between moderate daily physical activity and neurocognitive variability in healthy elderly people. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*, *56*(1), 109-117. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2012.10.004
- Laurin, D., Verreault, R., Lindsay, J., MacPherson, K., & Rockwood, K. (2001). Physical activity and risk of cognitive impairment and dementia in elderly persons. *Archives of Neurology*, *58*(3), 498-504.
- Miljkovic, N., Lim, J. Y., Miljkovic, I., & Frontera, W. R. (2015). Aging of skeletal muscle fibers. *Ann Rehabil Med*, *39*(2), 155-162. doi:10.5535/arm.2015.39.2.155
- Morris, J. K., Vidoni, E. D., Johnson, D. K., Van Sciver, A., Mahnken, J. D., Honea, R. A., . . . Burns, J. M. (2017). Aerobic exercise for Alzheimer's disease: A randomized controlled pilot trial. *PloS One*, *12*(2), e0170547. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170547
- National Council of the Elderly Person. (2014). *National Plan for Alzheimer's Disease and Related Dementias: Shared Efforts 2014-2024*. San José, Costa Rica. Retrieved from <https://www.conapam.go.cr/mantenimiento/Plan%20Nacional%20Alzheimer.pdf>
- Paillard, T., Rolland, Y., & de Souto Barreto, P. (2015). Protective Effects of Physical Exercise in Alzheimer's Disease and Parkinson's Disease: A Narrative Review. *J Clin Neurol*, *11*(3), 212-219. doi:10.3988/jcn.2015.11.3.212
- Roever, L., & Bennett, D. A. (2016). Cardiorespiratory fitness and cognition in the elderly: Can you outrun cognitive impairment? *Neurology*, *86*(5), 408-409. doi:10.1212/wnl.0000000000002341
- Rosero-Bixby, L., Dow, W. H., & Laclé, A. (2005). Insurance and other Socioeconomic Determinants of Elderly Longevity in a Costa Rican Panel. *Journal of Biosocial Science*, *37*(06), 705-720. doi:10.1017/S0021932004006996
- Salazar-Villanea, M., Liebmann, E., Garnier-Villarreal, M., Montenegro-Montenegro, E., & Johnson, D. K. (2015). Depressive symptoms affect working memory in healthy older adult Hispanics. *J Depress Anxiety*, *4*(4), 1-9. doi:10.4172/2167-1044.1000204
- Scott, S. P., De Souza, M. J., Koehler, K., Petkus, D. L., & Murray-Kolb, L. E. (2016). Cardiorespiratory Fitness is Associated with Better Executive Function in Young Women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, *48*(10), 1994-2002. doi:10.1249/mss.0000000000000974
- Silberberg, D., Anand, N. P., Michels, K., & Kalaria, R. N. (2015). Brain and other nervous system disorders across the lifespan - global challenges and opportunities. *Nature*, *527*(7578), S151-154. doi:10.1038/nature16028
- Sparling, P. B., Howard, B. J., Dunstan, D. W., & Owen, N. (2015). Recommendations for physical activity in older adults. *BMJ*, *350*, h100. doi:10.1136/bmj.h100
- Stewart, A. L., Mills, K. M., King, A. C., Haskell, W. L., Gillis, D., & Ritter, P. L. (2001). CHAMPS physical activity questionnaire for older adults: outcomes for interventions. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, *33*(7), 1126-1141.
- Stroop, J. R. (1935). Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *18*(6), 643-662.
- Tudor-Locke, C. E., & Myers, A. M. (2001). Challenges and opportunities for measuring physical activity in sedentary adults. *Sports Med*, *31*(2), 91-100.
- Tyndall, A. V., Davenport, M. H., Wilson, B. J., Burek, G. M., Arsenaault-Lapierre, G., Haley, E., . . . Poulin, M. J. (2013). The brain-in-motion study: effect of a 6-month aerobic exercise intervention on cerebrovascular regulation and cognitive function in older adults. *BMC Geriatr*, *13*(21), 1-10. doi:10.1186/1471-2318-13-21

- Vasquez, E., Botosaneanu, A., Bennett, J. M., & Shaw, B. A. (2015). Racial/Ethnic Differences in Trajectories of Cognitive Function in Older Adults: Role of Education, Smoking, and Physical Activity. *J Aging Health*. doi:10.1177/0898264315620589
- Vidoni, E. D., Johnson, D. K., Morris, J. K., Van Sciver, A., Greer, C. S., Billinger, S. A., . . . Burns, J. M. (2015). Dose-Response of Aerobic Exercise on Cognition: A Community-Based, Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. *PloS One*, *10*(7), e0131647. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0131647
- Voss, M. W., Carr, L. J., Clark, R., & Weng, T. (2014). Revenge of the “sit” II: Does lifestyle impact neuronal and cognitive health through distinct mechanisms associated with sedentary behavior and physical activity? *Mental Health and Physical Activity*, *7*(1), 9-24. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhpa.2014.01.001>
- Voss, M. W., Heo, S., Prakash, R. S., Erickson, K. I., Alves, H., Chaddock, L., . . . Kramer, A. F. (2013). The influence of aerobic fitness on cerebral white matter integrity and cognitive function in older adults: results of a one-year exercise intervention. *Hum Brain Mapp*, *34*(11), 2972-2985. doi:10.1002/hbm.22119
- Washburn, R. A., Smith, K. W., Jette, A. M., & Janney, C. A. (1993). The Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE): development and evaluation. *J Clin Epidemiol*, *46*(2), 153-162.
- Watts, A. S., Vidoni, E. D., Loskutova, N., Johnson, D. K., & Burns, J. M. (2013). Measuring Physical Activity in Older Adults with and without Early Stage Alzheimer's Disease. *Clin Gerontol*, *36*(4), 356-374. doi:10.1080/07317115.2013.788116
- Wechsler, D. (1997). *Administration and scoring manual: Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale*. San Antonio, TX: Harcourt Brace.
- Wendell, C. R., Gunstad, J., Waldstein, S. R., Wright, J. G., Ferrucci, L., & Zonderman, A. B. (2014). Cardiorespiratory fitness and accelerated cognitive decline with aging. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*, *69*(4), 455-462. doi:10.1093/gerona/glt144
- Young, J., Angevaren, M., Rusted, J., & Tabet, N. (2015). Aerobic exercise to improve cognitive function in older people without known cognitive impairment. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*(4), CD005381. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD005381.pub4

Table captions

Table 1. Descriptive statistics ($M \pm SD$) for anthropometric and fitness variables for male and female older adults from Kansas (KS) and Costa Rica (CR) ($n = 178$).

Table 2. Descriptive statistics ($M \pm SD$) for cognitive variables for male and female older adults from Kansas and Costa Rica ($n = 178$). Values are unadjusted raw-means \pm SD.

Table 3. ANCOVA summary table on raw-scores adjusted for age and education level.

Table 4. ANCOVA summary table for cognitive function variables z-scores adjusted for age and education level.

Table 5. Pearson correlations between aerobic power (VO_{2peak}) and z-score cognitive dimensions.

Figure caption

Figure 1. Spatial visualization (Panel A) and working memory dimensions (Panel B) in males and females from Kansas and Costa Rica. Values are age- and education-adjusted z-scores \pm SE.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics ($M \pm SD$) for anthropometric and fitness variables for male and female older adults from Kansas (KS) and Costa Rica (CR) ($n = 178$).

| Variable | Males (n = 61) | | Females (n = 117) | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | KS (n = 35) | CR (n = 26) | KS (n = 65) | CR (n = 52) |
| Age (yr.) | 73.6 \pm 6.4 | 68.9 \pm 4.5 | 72.5 \pm 5.1 | 68.9 \pm 5.0 |
| Weight (kg) | 90.5 \pm 14.7 | 75.8 \pm 14.3 | 72.1 \pm 11.2 | 65.3 \pm 11.1 |
| Height (cm) | 177.6 \pm 5.9 | 166.8 \pm 7.3 | 161.8 \pm 6.3 | 154.5 \pm 6.2 |
| Lean body mass (kg) | 57.2 \pm 5.6 | 51.9 \pm 6.8 | 39.1 \pm 4.3 | 37.3 \pm 4.1 |
| VO ₂ peak (ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹) | 23.8 \pm 4.7 | 25.7 \pm 3.7 | 20.5 \pm 3.5 | 21.4 \pm 4.0 |

Table 2. Descriptive statistics ($M \pm SD$) for cognitive variables for male and female older adults from Kansas and Costa Rica ($n = 178$). Values are unadjusted raw-means \pm SD.

| Variable | Males (n = 61) | | Females (n = 117) | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | KS (n = 35) | CR (n = 26) | KS (n = 65) | CR (n = 52) |
| Cognitive screening and simple speed of processing | | | | |
| • MMSE Score (0-30) | 29.1 \pm 1.1 | 29.6 \pm 0.8 | 29.3 \pm 1.0 | 29.3 \pm 1.3 |
| • Stroop Word Reading (score) | 96.0 \pm 16.2 | 93.7 \pm 12.2 | 95.7 \pm 12.9 | 88.6 \pm 12.9 |
| Spatial visualization | | | | |
| • Space Relations Test (Trial 1, Free recall) (score) | 7.6 \pm 2.2 | 9.8 \pm 2.2 | 8.3 \pm 2.1 | 9.7 \pm 1.9 |
| • Space Relations Test (Trial 2, Free recall) (score) | 9.0 \pm 1.9 | 11.6 \pm 1.6 | 9.9 \pm 1.9 | 11.8 \pm 1.9 |
| • Space Relations Test (Trial 3, Free recall) (score) | 9.6 \pm 2.2 | 11.9 \pm 1.8 | 10.7 \pm 2.4 | 12.8 \pm 1.5 |
| • Space Relations Test (Trial 1, Cued recall) (score) | 8.3 \pm 2.2 | 6.0 \pm 2.0 | 7.7 \pm 2.1 | 6.1 \pm 1.7 |
| • Space Relations Test (Trial 2, Cued recall) (score) | 7.0 \pm 1.9 | 4.4 \pm 1.5 | 6.1 \pm 1.9 | 5.5 \pm 9.5 |
| • Space Relations Test (Trial 3, Cued recall) (score) | 3.5 \pm 1.6 | 4.1 \pm 1.7 | 5.1 \pm 2.2 | 3.2 \pm 1.5 |
| Visuospatial processing/fluid ability | | | | |
| • Block Design (0-68) | 38.5 \pm 11.8 | 27.8 \pm 9.4 | 31.6 \pm 10.5 | 25.0 \pm 8.1 |
| • Digit Symbol Substitution Test (0-93) | 46.2 \pm 10.0 | 40.0 \pm 9.3 | 48.5 \pm 9.4 | 35.4 \pm 9.4 |
| • Trail-making Test A total time (s) | 27.5 \pm 7.1 | 41.4 \pm 8.8 | 30.8 \pm 10.0 | 50.5 \pm 19.0 |
| • Trail-making Test A (errors) | 0.2 \pm 0.6 | 0.2 \pm 0.5 | 0.2 \pm 0.4 | 0.2 \pm 0.6 |
| • Stroop Color Naming (score) | 72.7 \pm 11.4 | 60.6 \pm 9.3 | 72.9 \pm 11.4 | 59.0 \pm 8.0 |
| Episodic memory and verbal abilities | | | | |
| • Logical memory (score) | 14.1 \pm 3.2 | 9.7 \pm 3.3 | 15.3 \pm 3.1 | 9.6 \pm 2.7 |
| • Delayed logical memory (score) | 13.0 \pm 3.2 | 8.4 \pm 3.5 | 13.7 \pm 3.7 | 8.9 \pm 3.2 |
| • Delayed logical memory reminding (score) | 0.0 \pm 0.0 | 0.0 \pm 0.0 | 0.0 \pm 0.1 | 0.0 \pm 0.0 |
| • Delayed logical memory time elapsed (min) | 23.2 \pm 3.6 | 22.9 \pm 2.7 | 23.3 \pm 3.6 | 23.5 \pm 2.6 |
| • Boston Naming Test (score) | 29.0 \pm 1.4 | 27.6 \pm 1.7 | 28.0 \pm 1.8 | 26.1 \pm 3.7 |
| Executive functioning and cognitive control | | | | |
| • Trail-making Test B total time (s) | 78.9 \pm 46.0 | 108.7 \pm 46.5 | 65.0 \pm 38.1 | 120.5 \pm 66.9 |
| • Trail-making Test B (errors) | 0.5 \pm 0.8 | 0.9 \pm 1.2 | 0.7 \pm 1.1 | 0.9 \pm 1.8 |
| • Stroop Interference Task (score) | 34.8 \pm 8.0 | 30.0 \pm 7.7 | 38.5 \pm 10.5 | 29.8 \pm 8.2 |
| • Verbal fluency animals (score) | 21.4 \pm 5.6 | 19.0 \pm 3.4 | 21.2 \pm 5.7 | 18.9 \pm 3.9 |
| • Verbal fluency vegetables (score) | 14.1 \pm 4.9 | 12.6 \pm 3.9 | 16.8 \pm 4.0 | 15.5 \pm 3.6 |
| • Verbal fluency animals intrusions (score) | 0.1 \pm 0.4 | 0.0 \pm 0.0 | 0.1 \pm 0.3 | 0.0 \pm 0.0 |
| • Verbal fluency vegetables intrusions (score) | 1.0 \pm 2.0 | 1.2 \pm 2.3 | 0.3 \pm 0.7 | 1.2 \pm 2.9 |
| • Verbal fluency animals perseverations (score) | 0.7 \pm 1.0 | 1.1 \pm 1.6 | 0.5 \pm 0.7 | 1.1 \pm 1.3 |
| • Verbal fluency vegetables perseverations (score) | 0.3 \pm 0.5 | 0.4 \pm 1.0 | 0.5 \pm 0.8 | 0.8 \pm 1.1 |
| Working memory | | | | |
| • Digit Span forwards (score) | 8.9 \pm 1.7 | 5.6 \pm 1.1 | 8.2 \pm 1.9 | 5.7 \pm 1.1 |
| • Digit Span backwards (score) | 6.9 \pm 2.2 | 3.6 \pm 0.9 | 6.4 \pm 2.2 | 3.6 \pm 1.0 |
| • Letter Number Sequencing (score) | 10.8 \pm 2.0 | 6.7 \pm 2.1 | 9.7 \pm 2.3 | 6.2 \pm 3.3 |

Note: MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination

Table 3. ANCOVA summary table on raw-scores adjusted for age and education level.

| Variable | Source of variance | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Sample (A) | Gender (B) | Interaction (AxB) |
| Cognitive screen | | | |
| • MMSE (0-30) | 0.544 | 0.890 | 0.245 |
| Simple speed of processing | | | |
| • Stroop Word Reading (unlimited) | 0.009 | 0.415 | 0.536 |
| Spatial visualization | | | |
| • Space Relations Test (Trial 1, Free recall) | 0.000 | 0.220 | 0.600 |
| • Space Relations Test (Trial 2, Free recall) | 0.000 | 0.021 | 0.620 |
| • Space Relations Test (Trial 3, Free recall) | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.879 |
| • Space Relations Test (Trial 1, Cued recall) | 0.000 | 0.429 | 0.311 |
| • Space Relations Test (Trial 2, Cued recall) | 0.471 | 0.865 | 0.460 |
| • Space Relations Test (Trial 3, Cued recall) | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.570 |
| Visuospatial processing/Fluid ability | | | |
| • Block Design | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.093 |
| • Digit Symbol Substitution Test | 0.000 | 0.789 | 0.186 |
| • Trail-making Test A | 0.000 | 0.022 | 0.703 |
| • Trail-making Test A errors | 0.575 | 0.710 | 0.599 |
| • Stroop Color Naming | 0.000 | 0.778 | 0.749 |
| Episodic memory and verbal abilities | | | |
| • Logical memory | 0.000 | 0.024 | 0.605 |
| • Delayed logical memory | 0.000 | 0.054 | 0.712 |
| • Delayed logical memory reminding | 0.723 | 0.519 | 0.431 |
| • Delayed logical memory time elapsed | 0.503 | 0.832 | 0.836 |
| • Boston Naming Test | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.959 |
| Executive functioning and cognitive control | | | |
| • Trail-making Test B | 0.000 | 0.714 | 0.545 |
| • Trail-making Test B errors | 0.143 | 0.777 | 0.620 |
| • Stroop Interference Task (unlimited) | 0.000 | 0.243 | 0.238 |
| • Verbal fluency animals | 0.004 | 0.918 | 0.718 |
| • Verbal fluency vegetables | 0.043 | 0.000 | 0.825 |
| • Verbal fluency animals intrusions | 0.065 | 0.359 | 0.419 |
| • Verbal fluency vegetables intrusions | 0.114 | 0.247 | 0.378 |
| • Verbal fluency animals perseverations | 0.026 | 0.732 | 0.279 |
| • Verbal fluency vegetables perseverations | 0.156 | 0.112 | 0.785 |
| Working memory (simple attention) | | | |
| • Digit Span forwards | 0.000 | 0.350 | 0.086 |
| • Digit Span backwards | 0.000 | 0.648 | 0.305 |
| • Letter Number Sequencing | 0.000 | 0.209 | 0.106 |

Note: MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination

Table 4. ANCOVA summary table for cognitive function variables z-scores adjusted for age and education level.

| Cognitive dimension | Source of variance | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | Sample (A) | Gender (B) | Interaction (AxB) |
| | p ≤ | p ≤ | p ≤ |
| Cognitive screen | 0.915 | 0.852 | 0.254 |
| Simple speed of processing | 0.170 | 0.582 | 0.785 |
| Spatial visualization | 0.073 | 0.057 | 0.027 |
| Visuospatial processing/fluid ability | 0.099 | 0.192 | 0.741 |
| Episodic memory and verbal abilities | 0.426 | 0.995 | 0.570 |
| Executive functioning and cognitive control | 0.103 | 0.208 | 0.305 |
| Working memory (simple attention) | 0.022 | 0.466 | 0.002 |
| Cognitive function total score | 0.032 | 0.572 | 0.115 |

Table 5. Pearson correlations between aerobic power (VO₂peak) and z-score cognitive dimensions.

| Variable | Males | | Females | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | (n = 61) | | (n = 117) | | |
| | All | KS | CR | KS | CR |
| | (n = 178) | (n = 35) | (n = 26) | (n = 65) | (n = 52) |
| Cognitive screening | -0.063 | 0.159 | -0.309 | 0.004 | -0.286 ^b |
| Simple speed processing | 0.044 | 0.220 | -0.126 | 0.179 | -0.077 |
| Spatial visualization | -0.098 | 0.189 | -0.120 | 0.045 | 0.005 |
| Visuospatial processing/fluid ability | 0.197 ^a | 0.230 | 0.193 | 0.342 ^e | -0.034 |
| Episodic memory and verbal abilities | 0.130 | 0.230 | 0.356 | 0.025 | -0.011 |
| Executive functioning and cognitive control | -0.048 | -0.043 | -0.031 | 0.356 ^f | -0.345 ^c |
| Working memory (simple attention) | 0.077 | 0.396 ^d | 0.047 | 0.141 | 0.045 |
| Cognitive function total score | 0.087 | 0.236 | 0.070 | 0.327 ^g | -0.163 |

Note: ^ap = 0.009; ^bp = 0.040; ^cp = 0.012; ^dp = 0.019; ^ep = 0.006; ^fp = 0.004; ^gp = 0.008.

Figure 1. Spatial visualization (Panel A) and working memory dimensions (Panel B) in males and females from Kansas and Costa Rica. Values are age- and education-adjusted z-scores \pm SE.

